

Il ruolo dei giovani urologi nella ricerca clinica in uro-oncologia

Roberto La Rocca

Clinica Urologica

Università degli studi di Napoli

Federico II

siu

**Società Italiana
di Urologia**
dal 1908

è oltre 3000 soci

Opportunità di networking scientifico

Partnership SIU – AUA *Summer School*



Approfondimento clinico e confronto con stakeholders



Medical Writing



Campus intensivo della durata di 2 mezze giornate, finalizzato alla realizzazione di *progetti di ricerca*, alla discussione di casi clinici ed attività educazionali quali la preparazione di *articoli scientifici*, presentazioni orali o poster, confronto con opinion leader di altre discipline. Confronto su topics scientifici.

Revisione della letteratura dell'ultimo anno finalizzata alla presentazione in ambito Congressuale.

Mobilità



Vito Cucchiara – Napoli




Giovanni Cacciamani - Verona

Fellowship SIU AUA



In che modo un giovane urologo diventa un giovane ricercatore?



Mentors




Figure 4 – Most important advisors of early career researchers

Motivation: Why do scientists do science?



“Socrates was a Greek. He gave people advice. They killed him.” - Jerome Karle, discorso Cerimonia Nobel

The pure love of science.

A sense of duty.

To climb a career ladder.

I didn't know what to do next and this came up.

Obstacles

Table 14 – Obstacles encountered per region (proportions)

Regions	Statistics	Lack of mentoring	Lack of support from superiors	Job insecurity
Africa	Mean	45.31%	45.31%	31.25%
	Nb obs.	64	64	64
Asia	Mean	40.00%	45.00%	15.00%
	Nb obs.	100	100	100
Europe	Mean	52.78%	58.33%	83.33%
	Nb obs.	72	72	72
MENA	Mean	26.92%	36.54%	30.77%
	Nb obs.	52	52	52
Americas^a	Mean	29.63%	40.74%	44.44%
	Nb obs.	27	27	27

Note: ^a Americas refer to The Americas: South, North and Central.

Giovani specializzandi all'opera: Produzione scientifica

EUROPEAN UROLOGY FOCUS XXX (2016) XXX-XXX

available at www.sciencedirect.com
journal homepage: www.europeanurology.com/eufocus



Education

Urology Residency Training in Italy: Results of the First National Survey

Andrea Cocci^a, Giulio Patruno^b, Giorgio Gandaglia^c, Michele Rizzo^d, Francesco Esperto^e, Daniele Parnanzini^f, Amelia Pietropaolo^g, Emanuele Principi^h, Michele Talsoⁱ, Ramona Baldesi^j, Antonino Battaglia^k, Ervin Shehu^l, Francesca Carrobbio^m, Alfio Corsaroⁿ, Roberto La Rocca^o, Michele Marchioni^p, Lorenzo Bianchi^q, Eugenio Miglioranza^r, Guglielmo Mantica^s, Eugenio Martorana^t, Leonardo Misuraca^u, Dario Fontana^v, Saverio Forte^w, Giancarlo Napoli^x, Giorgio Ivan Russo^{n,*}

on behalf of Senato degli Specializzandi Study Group

on behalf of Senato degli Specializzandi Study Group
Saverio Forte^w, Giancarlo Napoli^x, Giorgio Ivan Russo^{n,*},
Eugenio Martorana^t, Leonardo Misuraca^u, Dario Fontana^v,
Roberto La Rocca^o, Michele Marchioni^p, Lorenzo Bianchi^q, Eugenio Miglioranza^r,
Guglielmo Mantica^s, Eugenio Martorana^t, Ervin Shehu^l, Francesca Carrobbio^m, Alfio Corsaroⁿ,
Daniele Parnanzini^f, Amelia Pietropaolo^g, Emanuele Principi^h, Michele Talsoⁱ,
Ramona Baldesi^j, Antonino Battaglia^k, Ervin Shehu^l, Francesca Carrobbio^m, Alfio Corsaroⁿ,
Roberto La Rocca^o, Michele Marchioni^p, Lorenzo Bianchi^q, Eugenio Miglioranza^r,
Guglielmo Mantica^s, Eugenio Martorana^t, Leonardo Misuraca^u, Dario Fontana^v,
Saverio Forte^w, Giancarlo Napoli^x, Giorgio Ivan Russo^{n,*}




Fig. 1 – Distribution of the number of articles published by residents according to the corresponding postgraduate year (PGY).

Regarding the questions on scientific involvement of residents, 217 (67.0%) responders were actively involved in this activity, 78 (24.1%) were not involved, 16 (4.9%) were not interested in research.

Ricerca sul campo

World J Urol (2017) 35:1857–1862
DOI 10.1007/s00345-017-2074-9



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards prostate cancer screening amongst men living in the southern Italian peninsula: the Prevention and Research in Oncology (PRO) non-profit Foundation experience

Vincenzo Mirone¹ · Ciro Imbimbo¹ · Davide Arcaniolo² · Marco Franco¹ · Roberto La Rocca¹ · Luca Venturino¹ · Lorenzo Spirito¹ · Massimiliano Creta³ · Paolo Verze¹



1860 World J Urol (2017) 35:1857–1862

Table 2 Knowledge and practices about PCa risk factors and PCa screening

	Overall	<40 year	40–54 year	55–69 year	>69 year
Knowledge					
Have you ever heard about PCa prevention?					
Yes, <i>n</i> (%)	1699 (79.2)	43 (81)	578 (79.7)	772 (79.6)	306 (77.3)
No, <i>n</i> (%)	242 (11.3)	5 (9.4)	86 (11.9)	105 (10.8)	46 (11.6)
No answer, <i>n</i> (%)	203 (9.5)	5 (9.4)	61 (8.4)	93 (9.6)	44 (11.1)
If yes, which was the source of information?					
TV, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	1054 (62.0)	30 (69.8)	330 (57.0)	478 (61.9)	216 (70.6)
Newspapers, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	544 (32.0)	16 (37.2)	178 (30.8)	243 (31.5)	107 (35.0)
Internet, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	546 (32.1)	19 (44.2)	225 (38.9)	236 (30.6)	66 (21.6)
Friends, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	476 (28.0)	13 (30.2)	162 (28.0)	215 (27.8)	86 (28.1)
Media campaign, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	407 (24.0)	8 (18.6)	160 (27.7)	184 (23.8)	55 (18.0)
Family physicians, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	290 (17.1)	4 (9.3)	96 (16.6)	130 (16.8)	60 (19.6)
No answer, <i>n</i> (%) ^a	21 (1.2)	0 (0)	6 (1)	12 (1.6)	3 (1)
Do you know PCa risk factors?					
Yes, <i>n</i> (%)	1275 (59.5)	35 (66)	448 (61.8)	580 (59.8)	212 (53.5)
No, <i>n</i> (%)	633 (29.5)	12 (22.6)	204 (28.1)	282 (29.1)	135 (34.1)
No answer, <i>n</i> (%)	236 (11.0)	6 (11.3)	73 (10.1)	108 (11.1)	49 (12.4)
Which risk factors do you know?					
Hereditary/genetics, <i>n</i> (%) ^b	392 (30.7)	14 (40)	140 (31.3)	186 (32.1)	52 (24.5)
Environmental, <i>n</i> (%) ^b	317 (24.9)	8 (22.9)	100 (22.3)	135 (23.3)	74 (34.9)
Hereditary/genetics + environmental, <i>n</i> (%) ^b	526 (41.3)	13 (37.1)	198 (44.2)	236 (40.7)	79 (37.3)
No answer, <i>n</i> (%) ^b	40 (3.1)	0 (0)	10 (2.2)	23 (4)	7 (3.3)
Practices					
Have you ever undergone a PSA?					
Yes, <i>n</i> (%)	1668 (77.8)	13 (24.5)	476 (65.7)	821 (84.6)	358 (90.4)
Not, <i>n</i> (%)	441 (20.6)	37 (69.8)	235 (32.4)	137 (14.1)	32 (8.1)
No answer, <i>n</i> (%)	35 (1.6)	3 (5.7)	14 (1.9)	12 (1.2)	6 (1.5)
Have you ever undergone a DRE?					
Yes, <i>n</i> (%)	1187 (55.4)	19 (35.8)	305 (42.1)	570 (58.8)	293 (74.0)
Not, <i>n</i> (%)	795 (37)	29 (54.7)	370 (51)	329 (33.9)	67 (17)
No answer, <i>n</i> (%)	162 (7.6)	5 (9.4)	50 (6.9)	71 (7.3)	36 (9.1)

The percentages refer to the total number of subjects within each age category

DRE digital rectal examination, PSA prostate specific antigen

^a Percentage of subjects who answered “yes” at the question “Have you ever heard about PCa prevention?”

^b Percentage of subjects who answered “yes” at the question “Do you know PCa risk factors?”

