



### STATO DELL'ARTE SULL'EPATOCARCINOMA 2017-2018 Milano, 21 Settembre 2018

# Update sulle altre linee di terapia sistemica dell'epatocarcinoma

Angelo Sangiovanni

U.O.C. Gastroenterologia ed Epatologia Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico Università degli Studi di Milano

### **Financial Disclosures**

#### Dr. Angelo Sangiovanni

Grant and research support: Fusjrebio Speaking and teaching: Bayer, Gilead Science, BMS, Janssen, Abbvie, Tiziana, Novartis

#### **Molecular Therapies and Precision Medicine: Integreative Molecular Classification for HCC**



#### Llovet JM et al, Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 2018

### Molecular Therapies and Precision Medicine: Integreative Immunological Classification for HCC



Llovet JM et al, Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 2018

#### Molecular Targeted Therapies for HCC and Their Targeted Signalling Pathways



Llovet JM et al, Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 2018

### Timeline of Targeted Therapies that Succeeded and Failed in Phase 3 RCTs of HCC



Pinter M and Peck-Radosavljevic M, Aliment Pharmaco Ther, 2018

### Outline

#### First line therapy other than Sorafenib

- Lenvatinib
- Second line therapy
  - > Regorafenib
  - Cabozantinib
  - Ramacirumab
  - Nivolumab

### Outline

#### First line therapy other than Sorafenib

#### Lenvatinib

#### Second line therapy

- > Regorafenib
- Cabozantinib
- Ramacirumab
- > Nivolumab

### Molecular Targeted Therapies for HCC Their Target Signalling Pathways



Modified from: Llovet JM et al, Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2015

#### Phase 2 study of Lenvatinib (12mg) in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma

46 pts, Japan 94%, CPT-A 98%; ECOG-PS 0 83%; PVI 11%; MTX 46%; BCLC-C 59%, prior SOR 13%.



Median **TTP** was 7.4 months as assessed by an IRRC according to mRECIST criteria





#### Median OS was 18.7 months.

Response category	Investigator assessment (mRECIST), $n = 46$	IRRC assessment (mRECIST), $n = 46$
Best response, $n$ (%)		
Complete response	0 (0)	0 (0)
Partial response	17 (37)	17 (37)
Stable disease	21 (46)	19 (41)
Progressive disease	5 (11)	6 (13)
Not evaluable	3 (7)	4 (9)
Objective response rate, n (%)	17 (37)	17 (37)
Disease control rate, $n$ (%)	38 (83)	36 (78)

IRRC independent radiologic review committee, mRECIST modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumors

#### Ikeda K et al, J Gastroenterol 2017

### Phase 2 study of Lenvatinib (12mg) in Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Adverse event	Any grade, $n = 46$	Grade 3, n = 46	Grade 4, n = 46
Hypertension	35 (76.1)	25 (54.3)	0
Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome	30 (65.2)	4 (8.7)	0
Decreased appetite	28 (60.9)	1 (2.2)	0
Proteinuria	28 (60.9)	9 (19.6)	0
Fatigue	25 (54.3)	0	0
Diarrhea	20 (43.5)	6 (13.0)	0
Constipation	19 (41.3)	0	0
Nausea	17 (37.0)	1 (2.2)	0
Dysphonia	17 (37.0)	0	0
Thrombocytopenia	16 (34.8)	9 (19.6)	1 (2.2)
Peripheral edema	16 (34.8)	0	0
Decreased weight	14 (30.4)	2 (4.3)	0
Neutropenia	13 (28.3)	2 (4.3)	0
Nasopharyngitis	13 (28.3)	0	0
Rash	13 (28.3)	0	0
Increased blood thyroid- stimulating hormone level	12 (26.1)	0	0
Back pain	11 (23.9)	0	0
Stomatitis	11 (23.9)	0	0
Vomiting	11 (23.9)	1 (2.2)	0
Pyrexia	10 (21.7)	0	0
Hypothyroidism	10 (21.7)	0	0
Insomnia	10 (21.7)	0	0
Hepatic encephalopathy wa	as the most	common S	SAE (5 pts, 11%)

Dose reduction occurred frequently and early in the course of treatment: 74% pts required a dose reduction and 10% withdrawal due to AEs



Ikeda K et al, J Gastroenterol 2017

### Phase 3 Trial Lenvatinib vs Sorafenib in First-Line Treatment of Patients with Unresectable HCC



#### Modified from: ClinicalTrials.gov, access Dec2017

### Lenvantinib vs Sorafenib in First-Line Treatment of Patients with Advanced HCC: Phase 3 Non-Inferiority trial



Kudo M et al, Lancet 2018

### Lenvantinib vs Sorafenib in First-Line Treatment of Patients with Advanced HCC: Overall Survival Outcomes



Kudo M et al, Lancet 2018

## Lenvantinib vs Sorafenib in First-Line Treatment of Patients with Advanced HCC: Progression-Free Survival Outcomes



Kudo M et al, Lancet 2018

### Lenvantinib vs Sorafenib in First-Line Treatment of Patients with Advanced HCC: Efficacy Measures

	Lenvatinib (n=478)	Sorafenib (n=476)	Effect size (95% CI)	p value
Investigator review according to mRECIST				
Overall survival (months)	13.6 (12.1–14.9)	12.3 (10.4-13.9)	HR 0.92 (0.79-1.06)	
Progression-free survival (months)	7.4 (6.9-8.8)	3.7 (3.6-4.6)	HR 0.66 (0.57-0.77)	<0.0001
Time to progression (months)	8.9 (7.4-9.2)	3.7 (3.6-5.4)	HR 0.63 (0.53-0.73)	<0.0001
Objective response (%, 95% CI)	115 (24.1%, 20.2-27.9)	44 (9·2%, 6·6–11·8)	OR 3·13 (2·15-4·56)	<0.0001
Complete response	6 (1%)	2 (<1%)		
Partial response	109 (23%)	42 (9%)		
Stable disease	246 (51%)	244 (51%)		
Durable stable disease lasting ≥23 weeks	167 (35%)	139 (29%)		
Progressive disease	71 (15%)	147 (31%)		
Unknown or not evaluable	46 (10%)	41 (9%)		
Disease control rate (%, 95% CI)	361 (75.5%, 71.7-79.4)	288 (60.5%, 56.1-64.9)		

#### Phase 3 trial Lenvatinib vs Sorafenib in First-Line Treatment of Patients with Unresectable HCC

	Lenvatinib (n=476)	Sorafenib (n=475)
Total treatment-emergent adverse events	470 (99%)	472 (99%)
Total treatment-related treatment-emergent adverse events	447 (94%)	452 (95%)
Treatment-emergent adverse events of grade ≥3	357 (75%)	316 (67%)
Treatment-related treatment-emergent adverse events of grade ≥3	270 (57%)	231 (49%)
Serious treatment-emergent adverse events	205 (43%)	144 (30%)
Serious treatment-related treatment-emergent adverse events	84 (18%)	48 (10%)

### Outline

#### First line therapy other than Sorafenib

> Lenvatinib

#### Second line therapy

#### > Regorafenib

- Cabozantinib
- Ramacirumab
- > Nivolumab

### Molecular Targeted Therapies for HCC Their Target Signalling Pathways



Modified form: Llovet JM et al, Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2015

#### Regorafenib as Second-Line Treatment in Advanced HCC: Open-Label Phase 2 Study



OS: 13.8 mos (95% C.I. 9.3-18.3)

#### Bruix J, et al. Eur J Cancer, 2013

### Regorafenib as Second-Line Treatment in Advanced HCC: Drug-Related Adverse Events: Phase 2 Study

	Any grade	Grade $\geq 3$
	n (%)	n (%)
Any adverse event	35 (97)	21 (58)
Diarrhoea	19 (53)	2 (6)
Fatigue	19 (53)	6 (17)
Hand-foot skin reaction	19 (53)	5 (14)
Hypothyroidism	15 (42)	0
Anorexia	13 (36)	0
Hypertension	13 (36)	1 (3)
Nausea	12 (33)	0
Voice changes	10 (28)	0
Constipation	9 (25)	0
Headache	7 (19)	0
Weight loss	7 (19)	0
Proteinuria	6 (17)	1 (3)
Oral mucositis	5 (14)	1 (3)
Vomiting	5 (14)	0
Abdominal pain	4 (11)	1 (3)
Anaemia	4 (11)	1 (3)
Fever	4 (11)	0
Hyperbilirubinaemia	4 (11)	2 (6)
Hyperthyroidism	4 (11)	1 (3)
Mood alteration, depression	4 (11)	0
Hypophosphataemia	2 (6)	2 (6)

Bruix J, et al. Eur J Cancer, 2013

### Phase 3 RESORCE: Regorafenib in HCC After Progression on Sorafenib

In Phase II trial, the median OS was 13.8 months, and the efficacy was mainly based on disease stabilization with a disease control rate of 72%.

Randomized, double-blind phase III trial



Primary endpoint: OS (ITT) Secondary endpoints: PFS, TTP, RR, DCR

Bruix J, et al. ESMO GI 2016 (abstract LBA-03) and Lancet Published online December 5, 2016

#### Regorafenib as Second-Line Treatment in Advanced HCC: RESORCE Phase 3 trial



Bruix J, et al. Lancet 2016

#### RESORCE Efficacy of Regorafenib vs Placebo: OS



Bruix J, et al. Lancet 2016

#### RESORCE

#### **Efficacy of Regorafenib vs Placebo: DFS**



Bruix J, et al. Lancet 2016

#### RESORCE

#### **Tumor Response Regorafenib vs Placebo**

	Regorafenib (n=379)	Placebo (n=194)
Best overall response*		
Complete response	2 (1%; <1–2)	0
Partial response	38 (10%; 7–14)	8 (4%; 2–8)
Stable disease	206 (54%; 49–59)	62 (32%; 26–39)
Non-complete response/ non-progressive disease	1 (<1%; 0–2)	0
Progressive disease	86 (23%; 19-27)	108 (56%; 48–63)
Not evaluable	19 (5%; 3–8)	8 (4%; 2-8)]
Not assessed	27 (7%; 5–10)	8 (4%; 2-8)
Clinical progression†	86 (23%; 19-27)	40 (21%; 15-27)
Objective response (complete response + partial response)*	40 (11%)‡	8 (4%)‡
Disease control*	247 (65%)§	70 (36%)§

Data are n (%; 95% CI). \*Based on radiological review using modified Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors for HCC (mRECIST).<sup>22</sup> †Defined as worsening of ECOG performance status or symptomatic deterioration including increase in liver function tests.  $\pm$ One-sided p=0.0047.  $\Omega$ One-sided p<0.0001.

#### RESORCE

#### **Treatment-Emergent Drug-Related Adverse Events**

	Treatment-e	emergent dru	g-related			
	Regorafenib	(n=374)		Placebo (n=1	93)	
	Any grade	Grade 3	Grade 4	Any grade	Grade 3	Grade 4
Any adverse event	346 (93%)	173 (46%)	14 (4%)	100 (52%)	31 (16%)	1(1%)
Hand-foot skin reaction	196 (52%)	47 (13%)	NA	13(7%)	1 (1%)	NA
Diarrhoea	125 (33%)	9 (2%)	0	18 (9%)	0	0
Fatigue	110 (29%)	24 (6%)	NA	37 (19%)	3 (2%)	NA
Hypertension	87 (23%)	48 (13%)	1(<1%)	9 (5%)	6 (3%)	0
Anorexia	88 (24%)	10 (3%)	0	12 (6%)	0	0
Increased blood bilirubin	70 (19%)	24 (6%)	1(<1%)	7 (4%)	4 (2%)	0
Abdominal pain	34 (9%)	5 (1%)	NA	5 (3%)	0	NA
Increased AST	48 (13%)	16 (4%)	3 (1%)	15 (8%)	9 (5%)	1(1%)
Nausea	40 (11%)	1(<1%)	NA	13 (7%)	0	NA
Ascites	8 (2%)	3 (1%)	0	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	0
Anaemia	23 (6%)	5 (1%)	1 (<1%)	2 (1%)	1 (1%)	0
Increased ALT	29 (8%)	6 (2%)	2 (1%)	8 (4%)	2 (1%)	0
General disorders and administration site conditions, other	8 (2%)	5 (1%)	0	2 (1%)	1 (1%)	0
Weight loss	27 (7%)	4 (1%)	NA.	3 (2%)	0	NA
Oral mucositis	42 (11%)	4 (1%)	0	5 (3%)	1 (1%)	0
Thrombocytopenia	19 (5%)	7 (2%)	1 (<1%)	2 (1%)	0	0
Hoarseness	34 (9%)	0	NA	0	0	NA

### Outline

#### First line therapy other than Sorafenib

> Lenvatinib

#### Second line therapy

- > Regorafenib
- Cabozantinib
- Ramacirumab
- > Nivolumab

### Molecular Targeted Therapies for HCC Their Target Signalling Pathways



Modified form: Llovet JM et al, Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2015

### Cabozantinib in HCC: Phase 2 Placebo-Controlled Randomized Discontinuation Study

The RDT enrolled 526 patients across nine tumor-type cohorts. 41 patients with HCC enrolled from the US, Belgium, and Taiwan



- The primary end point of the lead-in stage was objective response rate (ORR) at week 12.
- The primary end point of the randomized stage was progression-free survival (PFS).

### Cabozantinib in HCC: Phase 2 Placebo-Controlled Randomized Discontinuation Study



22 (54%) patients with SD at week12 were randomized.

Median PFS was 2.5 mos with cabozantinib and 1.4 mos with placebo, ns.

### Cabozantinib in HCC: Phase 2 Placebo-Controlled Randomized Discontinuation Study

6 ducana anna 6	All grades ( $n = 41$ )	Grade ≥3 ( <i>n</i> = 41)	Starting dai
Adverse event	Patients, n (%)		Dose reduc
Any adverse event	41 (100)	35 (85)	The mediar
Diarrhea	26 (63)	8 (20)	was 66 mg/
Hand-foot syndrome	23 (56)	6 (15)	
Fatigue	23 (56)	1 (2)	Median time
Thrombocytopenia	15 (37)	6 (15)	reduction 3
Nausea	15 (37)	1 (2)	
Vomiting	15 (37)	1 (2)	Even with d
Decreased appetite	12 (29)	0 (0)	patients ma
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	11 (27)	4 (10)	
Hypertension	10 (24)	4 (10)	control as s
Rash	10 (24)	0 (0)	DCR at wee
Asthenia	9 (22)	3 (7)	
Weight decreased	9 (22)	1 (2)	
Constipation	9 (22)	0 (0)	
Hair color changes	9 (22)	0 (0)	
			Starting c

ly dose 100 mg. tions in 59% for AEs. average daily dose /day e to first dose 9.5 days. lose reductions, intained disease shown by the high ek 12.

> Starting dose in Phase 3 Trial 60 mg daily

#### Kelley RK et al Annals of Oncology 2017

### Cabozantinib in HCC: Phase 3 Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial

Primary endpoint: OS Secondary endpoints: ORR, PFS Exploratory endpoints: patient-reported outcomes, biomarkers and safety.



Assumed median OS of 8.2 months for the placebo arm.

A total of 621 events provide the study with 90% power to detect a 32% increase in OS (HR = 0.76). Two interim analyses were planned to be conducted at 50% and 75% of the planned events.

Modified from: ClinicalTrials.gov, access Dec2017

### Cabozantinib in Patients with Advanced and Progressing Hepatocellular Carcinoma: CELESTIAL Study



#### Abou-Alfa GK et al, N Engl J Med, 2018

#### Overall Survival and Progression-Free Survival: CELESTIAL Study



#### Progression-free Survival



No. at Risk

**Overall Survival** 

Cabozantinib	470	328	281	206	159	116	93	63	44	31	22	12	4	1	0
Placebo	237	190	117	82	57	37	25	20	15	10	7	5	3	0	0

Abou-Alfa GK et al, N Engl J Med, 2018

### **Adverse Events: CELESTIAL Study**

Event	Cabozantinib (N=467)			P	Placebo (N=237)			
	Any Grade	Grade 3	Grade 4	Any Grade	Grade 3	Grade 4		
			number of pat	tients (percent)				
Any adverse event	460 (99)	270 (58)	46 (10)	219 (92)	80 (34)	6 (3)		
Diarrhea	251 (54)	45 (10)	1 (<1)	44 (19)	4 (2)	0		
Decreased appetite	225 (48)	27 (6)	0	43 (18)	1 (<1)	0		
Palmar–plantar erythrodysesthesia	217 (46)	79 (17)	0	12 (5)	0	0		
Fatigue	212 (45)	49 (10)	0	70 (30)	10 (4)	0		
Nausea	147 (31)	10 (2)	0	42 (18)	4 (2)	0		
Hypertension	137 (29)	73 (16)	1 (<1)	14 (6)	4 (2)	0		
Increase in aspartate aminotransferase level	105 (22)	51 (11)	4 (1)	27 (11)	15 (6)	1 (<1)		
Asthenia	102 (22)	31 (7)	1 (<1)	18 (8)	4 (2)	0		
Abdominal pain	83 (18)	7 (1)	1 (<1)	60 (25)	10 (4)	0		
Weight loss	81 (17)	5 (1)	0	14 (6)	0	0		
Increase in alanine aminotransferase level	80 (17)	23 (5)	0	13 (5)	5 (2)	0		
Mucosal inflammation	65 (14)	8 (2)	0	5 (2)	1 (<1)	0		
Pyrexia	64 (14)	0	0	24 (10)	1 (<1)	0		
Upper abdominal pain	63 (13)	3 (1)	0	31 (13)	0	0		

Modified from Abou-Alfa GK et al, N Engl J Med, 2018

### Outline

#### First line therapy other than Sorafenib

> Lenvatinib

#### Second line therapy

- > Regorafenib
- Cabozantinib
- Ramacirumab
- > Nivolumab

### Molecular Targeted Therapies for HCC Their Target Signalling Pathways



Modified form: Llovet JM et al, Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2015

#### Ramucirumab as Second-Line Treatment in Advanced HCC: Adverse Events: CELESTIAL Study



#### Zhu AX et al, JAMA Oncol, 2017

#### Ramucirumab as Second-Line Treatment in Advanced HCC: Subanalysis according to C-P Score and Serum AFP Value

Overall survival for all randomized patients in Child-Pugh A5

Overall survival for all randomized patients in Child-Pugh A5 and AFP > 400ng/ml



#### Zhu AX et al, JAMA Oncol, 2017

#### Ramucirumab as Second-Line Treatment in Advanced HCC: Subanalysis According to C-P Score and Serum AFP Value

Overall survival for all randomized patients in Child-Pugh A6

Overall survival for all randomized patients in Child-Pugh A6 and AFP > 400ng/ml



### Outline

#### First line therapy other than Sorafenib

> Lenvatinib

#### Second line therapy

- > Regorafenib
- Cabozantinib
- Ramacirumab
- Nivolumab

### How the Immune System Is Unleashed by Check-point Inhibitors

### Immune checkpoints: co-inhibitory molecules that interrupt the immune response to avoid over-activation of T cells



### Immune Check-point Inibition by Nivolumab

- HBV and HCV infections are associated with manifestations of immune suppression, including upregulation of programmed death-1 (PD-1) receptor, T-cell exhaustion, and spontaneous apoptosis of immune cells.
- Blockade of the cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen-4 receptor by monoclonal antibodies has shown encouraging activity in patients with HCC and HCV infection.
- Nivolumab is a fully human IgG4 monoclonal antibody to the PD-1 receptor, blocking the interaction with PD-L1/PD-L2 and restoring T-cell-mediated antitumor activity



Modified from: Topalian SL et aal, N Engl J Med 2012; El-Khoueiry AB, et al. 2016 ASCO Annual Meeting



Patients received intravenous nivolumab every 2 weeks.

	Escalation pha	ase			Expansion phase	e				
	Uninfected (n=23)	HCV infected (n=10)	HBV infected (n=15)	All patients (n=48)	Uninfected untreated/ intolerant (n=56)	Uninfected progressor (n=57)	HCV infected (n=50)	HBV infected (n=51)	All patients (n=214)	
Median age (years)	61 (54-72)	67 (60–74)	62 (46-66)	62 (55-69)	66 (59-71)	65 (60-71)	65 (61-73)	55 (42–66)	64 (56-70)	
≥65 years	8 (35%)	6 (60%)	6 (40%)	20 (42%)	33 (59%)	29 (51%)	25 (50%)	13 (25%)	100 (47%)	
Sex										
Female	6 (26%)	4 (40%)	2 (13%)	12 (25%)	8 (14%)	15 (26%)	8 (16%)	12 (24%)	43 (20%)	
Male	17 (74%)	6 (60%)	13 (87%)	36 (75%)	48 (86%)	42 (74%)	42 (84%)	39 (76%)	171 (80%)	
Race										
White	19 (83%)	8 (80%)	1 (7%)	28 (58%)	38 (68%)	34 (60%)	29 (58%)	4 (8%)	105 (49%)	
Asian	2 (9%)	2 (20%)	14 (93%)	18 (38%)	16 (29%)	22 (39%)	18 (36%)	45 (88%)	101 (47%)	
Black	2 (9%)	0	0	2 (4%)	1 (2%)	1(2%)	2 (4%)	2 (4%)	6 (3%)	
Other	0	0	0	0	1 (2%)	0	1 (2%)	0	2 (1%)	
ECOG performance status 1*	9 (39%)	4 (40%)	6 (40%)	19 (40%)	16 (29%)	22 (39%)	15 (30%)	24 (47%)	77 (36%)	
Extrahepatic metastases	16 (70%)	6 (60%)	12 (80%)	34 (71%)	36 (64%)	41 (72%)	25 (50%)	42 (82%)	144 (67%)	
Vascular invasion	8 (35%)	5 (50%)	6 (40%)	19 (40%)	13 (23%)	18 (32%)	17 (34%)	15 (29%)	63 (29%)	
Child-Pugh score										
5	19 (83%)	8 (80%)	14 (93%)	41 (85%)	43 (77%)	37 (65%)	27 (54%)	42 (82%)	149 (70%)	
6	4 (17%)	2 (20%)	1 (7%)	7 (15%)	12 (21%)	20 (35%)	20 (40%)	9 (18%)	61 (29%)	
7-9	0	0	0	0	1 (2%)	0	3 (6%)	0	4 (2%)	
α-fetoprotein ≥400 µg/L†	6 (26%)	3 (30%)	6 (40%)	15 (31%)	15 (27%)	22 (39%)	17 (34%)	25 (49%)	79 (37%)	
Previous treatment										
Surgical resection	15 (65%)	8 (80%)	13 (87%)	36 (75%)	34 (61%)	36 (63%)	18 (36%)	40 (78%)	128 (60%)	
Radiotherapy‡	6 (26%)	2 (20%)	2 (13%)	10 (21%)	9 (16%)	17 (30%)	4 (8%)	11 (22%)	41 (19%)	
Local treatment for HCC§	8 (35%)	6 (60%)	10 (67%)	24 (50%)	24 (43%)	28 (49%)	25 (50%)	40 (78%)	117 (55%)	
Systemic therapy	19 (83%)	6 (60%)	15 (100%)	40 (83%)	23 (41%)	57 (100%)	32 (64%)	47 (92%)	159 (74%)	
Sorafenib¶	17 (74%)	5 (50%)	15 (100%)	37 (77%)	15 (27%)	57 (100%)	30 (60%)	43 (84%)	145 (68%)	

#### Safety and tolerability of nivolumab in the dose-escalation phase

	0-1 mg/kg (n=6) 0-3 mg/kg (n=9)		1 mg/kg (n	=10)	3 mg/kg (n=	10)	10 mg/kg (r	1=13)	All patients	Ill patients (n=48)   uny grade Grade 3/4   3 (6%) 2 (4%)		
	Any grade	Grade 3/4	Any grade	Grade 3/4	Any grade	Grade 3/4	Any grade	Grade 3/4	Any grade	Grade 3/4	Any grade	Grade 3/4
Treatment-related serious AEs	1 (17%)*	1 (17%)*	1 (11%)†	1 (11%)†	0	0	0	0	<b>1 (8%)</b> ‡	0	3 (6%)	2 (4%)
AEs leading to discontinuation	0	0	1 (11%)§	1 (11%)§	0	0	1 (10%)¶	1 (10%)¶	1 (8%)	1 (8%)	3 (6%)	3 (6%)
Treatment-related deaths	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patients with a treatment-related AE	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	8 (89%)	3 (33%)	8 (80%)	5 (50%)	9 (90%)	2 (20%)	11 (85%)	0	40 (83%)	12 (25%)
Treatment-related AEs**												
Rash	1 (17%)	0	2 (22%)	0	2 (20%)	0	2 (20%)	0	4 (31%)	0	11 (23%)	0
Pruritus	2 (33%)	0	3 (33%)	0	0	0	1 (10%)	0	3 (23%)	0	9 (19%)	0
Diarrhoea	0	0	3 (33%)	0	0	0	1 (10%)	0	1 (8%)	0	5 (10%)	0
Decreased appetite	1 (17%)	0	2 (22%)	0	1 (10%)	0	0	0	1 (8%)	0	5 (10%)	0
Fatigue	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	2 (22%)	0	1 (10%)	0	0	0	0	0	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
Asthenia	0	0	1 (11%)	0	0	0	1 (10%)	0	1 (8%)	0	3 (6%)	0
Weight decreased	0	0	1 (11%)	0	0	0	0	0	2 (15%)	0	3 (6%)	0
Nausea	0	0	1 (11%)	0	0	0	1 (10%)	0	1 (8%)	0	3 (6%)	0
Dry mouth	0	0	1 (11%)	0	1 (10%)	0	0	0	1 (8%)	0	3 (6%)	0
Laboratory treatment-related A	Es**											
AST increase	0	0	2 (22%)	2 (22%)	3 (30%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	4 (31%)	0	10 (21%)	5 (10%)
ALT increase	0	0	2 (22%)	2 (22%)	1 (10%)	0	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	2 (15%)	0	7 (15%)	3 (6%)
Lipase increase	1 (17%)	1 (17%)	1 (11%)	0	4 (40%)	4 (40%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	2 (15%)	0	10 (21%)	6 (13%)
Amylase increase	1 (17%)	0	0	0	4 (40%)	1 (10%)	2 (20%)	1 (10%)	2 (15%)	0	9 (19%)	2 (4%)
Anaemia	0	0	1 (11%)	0	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	0	0	2 (15%)	0	4 (8%)	1 (2%)
Hypoalbuminaemia	0	0	1 (11%)	0	1 (10%)	0	0	0	1 (8%)	0	3 (6%)	0
Hyponatraemia	0	0	0	0	2 (20%)	0	0	0	1 (8%)	0	3 (6%)	0

	Nivolumab efficacy in the dose-expansion phase							
	Uninfected untreated/ intolerant (n=56)	Uninfected progressor (n=57)	HCV infected (n=50)	HBV infected (n=51)	All patients (n=214)			
Objective response*	13 (23%; 13 to 36)	12 (21%; 11 to 34)	10 (20%; 10 to 34)	7 (14%; 6 to 26)	42 (20%; 15 to 26)			
Complete response	0	2 (4%)	0	1 (2%)	3 (1%)			
Partial response	13 (23%)	10 (18%)	10 (20%)	6 (12%)	39 (18%)			
Stable disease	29 (52%)	23 (40%)	23 (46%)	21 (41%)	96 (45%)			
Progressive disease	13 (23%)	18 (32%)	14 (28%)	23 (45%)	68 (32%)			
Not evaluable	1 (2%)	4 (7%)	3 (6%)	0	8 (4%)			
Duration of response*								
KM median	8-4 (8-3 to NE)	NR	9·9 (4·5 to 9·9)	NR	9·9 (8·3 to NE)			
Ongoing, n/N (%)	8/13 (62%)	7/12 (58%)	8/10 (80%)	5/7 (71%)	28/42 (67%)			
Disease control*	42 (75%; 62 to 86)	35 (61%; 48 to 74)	33 (66%; 51 to 79)	28 (55%; 40 to 69)	138 (64%; 58 to 71)			
Disease control with stable disease for ≥6 months	22 (39%; 27 to 53)	22 (39%; 26 to 52)	17 (34; 21 to 49)	18 (35%; 22 to 50)	79 (37%; 30 to 44)			

This signs of efficacy were consistent with the more recently reported median OS of 28.6 months (95%CI 16.6–NE) in the population naive to sorafenib, and 15.6 months (95%CI 13.2–18.9) in the much larger population exposed to sorafenib (90%) sorafenib progressors).

#### El-Khoueiry AB, et al. Lancet 2017 and Crocenzi TS et al, J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(suppl).

	Escalation phase (n=44)*	Expansion phase (n=174)*		
PD-L1 ≥1%†	11 (25%)	34 (20%)		
Objective response	3/11 (27%; 6–61)	9/34 (26%; 13–44)		
Complete response	1 (9%)	1 (3%)		
Partial response	2 (18%)	8 (24%)		
Stable disease	0	16 (47%)		
Progressive disease	7 (64%)	9 (26%)		
Not determined	1 (9%)	0		
PD-L1 <1%†	33 (75%)	140 (80%)		
Objective response	4/33 (12%; 3–28)	26/140 (19%; 13–26)		
Complete response	2 (6%)	2 (1%)		
Partial response	2 (6%)	24 (17%)		
Stable disease	19 (58%)	62 (44%)		
Progressive disease	8 (24%)	46 (33%)		
Not determined	2 (6%)	6 (4%)		

ORR occurred in this study regardless of PD-L1 expression on tumour cells (1% of tumour cells expressing PD-L1 as cutoff).

### **Treatment Strategy for Advanced HCC**

Advanced stage (BCLC stage C: portal invasion and/or extrahepatic spread) Intermediate stage (BCLC stage B: multinodular) progressing upon locoregional therapies



### **Next Step: Targeted Therapy Combination**

Targeted therapy combinations						
Atezolizumab + bevacizumab	PD-L1 and VEGFA	Advanced; first line	None	III	OS	NCT03434379
Galunisertib + nivolumab	TGFβR1 and PD-1	Advanced; second line	AFP>200 ng/ml	I-II	MTD	NCT02423343
Apatinib + SHR-1210	VEGFR2 and PD-1	Advanced; second line	None	HI	OS	NCT02942329
$Spartalizumab\pm capmatinib$	PD-1 and MET	Advanced; second line	None	HI	DLTs	NCT02795429
FGF401±spartalizumab	FGFR4 and PD-1	Advanced; second line	FGFR4* and KLB*	HI	DLTs	NCT02325739
Pembrolizumab + le nvatinib	PD-1 plus VEGFR2 and VEGFR3	Advanced; se cond line	None	I	DLTs	NCT03006926
Regorafenib + pembrolizumab	VEGFRs, FGFRs, KIT, PDGFRs, and RAF plus PD-1	Advanced; first line	None	1	AEs	NCT03347292
Cabozantinib + nivolumab	MET and VEGFRs plus PD-1	Neoadjuvant	None	1	AEs	NCT03299946
Avelumab + axitinib	PD-L1 plus VEGFRs, KIT, and PDGFRs	Advanced; first line	None	1	AEs	NCT03289533
Ramucirumab + durvalumab	VEGFR2 and PD-L1	Advanced; second line	AFP > 1.5 × ULN	1	DLTs	NCT02572687
XL888+pembrolizumab	HSP90 and PD-1	Advanced; second line	None	1	RP2D	NCT03095781
Navitoclax + sorafenib	BCL-2 plus VEGFRs, KIT, PDGFRs, and RAF	Advanced; second line	None	1	MTD	NCT02143401

- Improving pre-clinical testing of novel drugs (oncogenic addiction loop, oncogenic drivers, signaling pathways),combo and adjuvant therapy.
- Expanding 2<sup>nd</sup> line therapies beyond patients who tolerate sorafenib.
- Biomarkers to predict treatment response and early detection.
- Integrating cost-benefit and QoL analysis in clinical trials.

### Dose finding of Lenvatinib in Subjects with Advanced HCC Based on Population Pharmacokinetic and Exposure-Response Analysis



Tamai T et al, J Clin Pharmacol 2017

#### Dose finding of Lenvatinib in subjects with advanced HCC based on population pharmacokinetic and exposure-response analysis



### **CELESTIAL Phase 3 Study: Overall Survival Analyses**

Up to 2 interim analyses were planned:

✓ IA #1 (planned at 50% information fraction)

Data cut-off Jun 15, 2016

Included 51.7% of total required deaths

IDMC recommended study proceed without modification

✓ IA #2 (planned at 75% information fraction)

Data cut-off Jun 1, 2017

Included 78% of total required deaths

IDMC recommended study stop for efficacy

Global phase 3 CELESTIAL trial met its primary endpoint of OS, with cabozantinib providing a statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in median OS <u>vs</u> placebo in patients with advanced HCC.

Modified from: ClinicalTrials.gov, access Dec2017 and Press release, 16 Oct 2017



#### **Nivolumab in Advanced HCC**

The FDA has granted an accelerated approval to nivolumab for the treatment of patients with HCC following prior sorafenib, regardless of PD-L1 status.

The approval is based on 154 patients enrolled in the phase I/II CheckMate-040 trial, in which the overall response rate (ORR) by blinded independent central review (BICR) was 18.2% per mRECIST criteria for patients who had previously been treated with sorafenib. Additionally, 3.2% of patients experienced a complete response. The ORR by RECIST 1.1 was 14.3% with nivolumab and the response duration ranged from 3.2 to 38.2+ months.

A phase III randomized trial of nivolumab versus sorafenib has been launched in the frontline setting, with an enrollment goal of 726 patients. The estimated primary completion date is October 2018 (NCT02576509).